Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his) capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL) OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and) OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,) in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES) FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff, vs.) No. 4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ TYSON FOODS, INC., et al, Defendants.

THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ED

FITE, produced as a witness on behalf of the Defendants, in the above styled and numbered cause, taken on the 6th day of April 2009, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Marlene Percefull, Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

EXHIBIT

Page 83

- scheduled for September and I want to avoid as much as 11:10AM
- 2 possible any surprises about what your testimony may be
- 3 so I'm going to ask you about some particular topics
- 4 and then I have a few documents that I want to run
- 5 through and try to get some context on. So you 11:11AM
- 6 understand you're here to give a deposition because
- 7 there has been a lawsuit filed by the State of
- 8 Oklahoma?
- ⁹ A Yes, sir.
- 10 O Was that lawsuit your idea? 11:11AM
- 11 A No, sir.
- 12 O To your knowledge, did the Oklahoma Scenic Rivers
- 13 Commission request the filing of this lawsuit?
- 14 A No, sir.
- 15 Q Mr. Fite, did you see a copy of the complaint in 11:11AM
- this lawsuit before it was filed in July of 2005?
- 17 A No, sir.
- 18 O Now, Mr. Elrod took you through a series of
- 19 questions around, for lack of a better word, different
- sources that might have an impact on water quality that 11:11AM
- exists in the Illinois watershed. I want to go back.
- Let me ask you a threshold question first. Mr. Fite,
- do you believe that all significant sources of either
- 24 phosphorous or bacteria in the Illinois River Watershed
- should be regulated to minimize the water quality 11:12AM

| | | Page 84 |
|----|--|---------|
| 1 | impact of those sources? | 11:12AM |
| 2 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 3 | A Restate that for me, please. | |
| 4 | Q Sure. And it's really just your preference. | |
| 5 | Would you prefer to see all significant sources of | 11:12AM |
| 6 | phosphorous or bacteria that operate on the Illinois | |
| 7 | River Watershed be regulated in such a way as to | |
| 8 | minimize the impact of those sources on water quality? | |
| 9 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 10 | A Yes. | 11:12AM |
| 11 | Q Okay. We've talked about cattle. Are cattle | |
| 12 | regulated in the Illinois River Watershed? | |
| 13 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 14 | A From an environmental standpoint under the Clean | |
| 15 | Water Act, no. | 11:12AM |
| 16 | Q Are you aware of any Oklahoma state programs other | |
| 17 | than the sort of incentive-based conservation practice | |
| 18 | we talked about earlier in which the State of Oklahoma | |
| 19 | has imposed mandatory regulations, environmental | |
| 20 | regulations on cattle operations in the watershed? | 11:13AM |
| 21 | MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. | |
| 22 | A That question needs to be referred to the | |
| 23 | Department of Ag. | |
| 24 | Q Okay. | |
| 25 | A Dan Parrish. I don't think I could answer the | 11:13AM |

Page 85 1 question. 11:13AM Okay. The question was and I'm not trying to 3 argue with you was whether you are aware of any Not -- there may be some that exist that 5 you're not aware of? 11:13AM MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. 7 Concentrated animal feeding operations are Α regulated. 9 Right. 0 10 And I'm not familiar with the threshold currently 11:13AM 11 for cattle. 12 Okay. What about grazing cattle? Are you aware 13 of any Oklahoma state regulatory programs that impose 14 mandatory obligations on grazing cattle in the Illinois 15 River Watershed from an environmental standpoint? 11:13AM 16 MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. 17 There are farm plans that are written by the Α 18 conservation districts by NRCS for prescribed grazing, 19 but as far as a regulatory reg, the regulation would be 20 if it's tied to a cost incentive program or something 11:14AM 21 like that that the landowner is benefited from would be 22 my best knowledge of that. 23 Okay. You and Mr. Elrod talked a little bit about 24 a stream bank erosion. Do you agree that stream bank 25 erosion along the corridor of the Illinois River and 11:14AM

Page 86 its tributaries is a significant source of water 11:14AM quality impairments? 2 MR. RIGGS: Object to the form. 4 Yes. Okay. And with respect to regulation of the 5 11:14AM private landowners of those stream banks, Mr. Fite, are you aware of any mandatory environmental programs that 7 have been enacted by the State of Oklahoma that either 9 require or prohibit certain activities by riparian 11:15AM 10 owners? 11 Α Yes. 12 Tell me what you're aware of. 13 Building in the floodplain, have a floodplain permit. Location of an on-site treatment works for a 14 residence or a business, such as a septic tank or some 11:15AM 15 16 other type of processes that deal with wastewater generated in that facility or facilities. 17 18 Mr. Fite, are you aware of any Oklahoma environmental regulations or programs that would 19 11:15AM 20 prohibit riparian landowners from clearing their property all the way down to the stream bank? 21 Yes, under -- you can't convert more than one acre 22 Α 23 of land without a storm water permit and notice of intent with the Department of Environmental Quality. 24 If it's agriculturally related, then you have to work 11:16AM 25

| | | Page 88 | |
|----|---|---------|--|
| 1 | the use of their animals? | 11:17AM | |
| 2 | A When we've had knowledge at the Scenic River | | |
| 3 | Commission level last ten to 15 years, we generally as | | |
| 4 | a rule of thumb, whether it's agricultural-related | | |
| 5 | clearing or for residential purposes or esthetic | 11:17AM | |
| 6 | purposes, we automatically contact the Army Corps of | | |
| 7 | Engineers, DEQ are generally on site before that work | | |
| 8 | goes underway, it's underway. | | |
| 9 | Q You indicated earlier that you have used | | |
| 10 | commercial fertilizer on your property on occasion, is | 11:17AM | |
| 11 | that right? | | |
| 12 | A Twice. | | |
| 13 | Q Are you aware from your personal and professional | | |
| 14 | involvement in the Illinois River Watershed that other | | |
| 15 | landowners in the watershed make use of commercial | 11:18AM | |
| 16 | fertilizer? | | |
| 17 | A Yes. | | |
| 18 | Q And are you aware, Mr. Fite, that there are | | |
| 19 | environmental concerns, including nutrient runoff, that | | |
| 20 | have been recognized with respect to commercial | 11:18AM | |
| 21 | fertilizer use? | | |
| 22 | A Yes. | | |
| 23 | Q Is commercial fertilizer use in the Illinois | | |
| 24 | Watershed regulated under any mandatory program by the | | |
| 25 | State of Oklahoma? | 11:18AM | |

Page 89

- 1 A I don't have knowledge of this. I know in my 11:18AM
- 2 application I restricted my use to soil sampling and a
- mixture of fertilization that's about half rate of what
- 4 the soil sample.
- Okay. You are aware, are you not, Mr. Fite, that 11:18AM
- the poultry industry is regulated on both sides of the
- 7 state line in terms of the use of poultry litter in the
- 8 Illinois River Watershed?
- 9 MR. RIGGS: Object to the form.
- 10 A I'm familiar with statutes and some regulations, 11:19AM
- 11 not comprehensive.
- 0 Okay. Mr. Fite, can you identify for me any
- farmer under contract with Tyson Foods that you have
- personal knowledge that he or she has violated the
- state laws or regulations governing the use of poultry 11:19AM
- 16 litter?
- 17 A Presently there are none. Most of the operators,
- the growers that I know, are no longer in business.
- 19 O So I can assume then, Mr. Fite, that when this
- case goes to trial in September that you're not going 11:19AM
- to take the stand and identify a particular farmer
- under contract with Tyson Foods who you know has
- violated the law in terms of use of poultry litter?
- MR. RIGGS: Object to the form.
- 25 Q Is that fair? 11:20AM

| | | | Page 90 |
|----|-------|---|---------|
| 1 | A | Yes. | 11:20AM |
| 2 | Q | Okay. And if I ask that same question for the | |
| 3 | other | r companies integrators that are named in this | |
| 4 | lawsı | uit, would the answer be the same? | |
| 5 | | MR. RIGGS: Object to form. | 11:20AM |
| 6 | A | No. | |
| 7 | Q | Okay. | |
| 8 | | MR. TUCKER: I'm sorry. What was the answer? | |
| 9 | A | No. | |
| 10 | Q | Okay. Is there a particular well, tell me why | 11:20AM |
| 11 | the a | answer would not be the same for all other | |
| 12 | comp | anies? | |
| 13 | А | There was one integrator that was bringing liquid | |
| 14 | wast | e into Oklahoma on honey wagons, honey trucks. | |
| 15 | This | has been more than fifteen years ago. Landowner | 11:20AM |
| 16 | invo | lved is Dean Wilma, he's deceased now, but they | |
| 17 | were | land applying concentrations so heavily that it | |
| 18 | was : | making its way into the river and I filed a | |
| 19 | comp | laint. | |
| 20 | Q | And who was the integrator involved? | 11:20AM |
| 21 | А | George's. | |
| 22 | Q | And you said that was approximately how long ago? | |
| 23 | A | It's been 15 years ago anyway. | |
| 24 | Q | And when you say you filed a complaint, you filed | |
| 25 | a co | mplaint with who? | 11:20AM |

Page 91 1 Α I had the conservation district and the State 11:20AM 2 Department of Ag come out on site. 3 And was the problem addressed? 4 Α Yes. 5 Addressed to your satisfaction? 11:21AM 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. With that one exception then, are you -- do 8 you have personal knowledge, Mr. Fite, of any other violations by farmers who contract with any of the 10 integrators named as defendants in this lawsuit? 11:21AM 11 Α That's the only complaint that I've been 12 personally involved in. 13 Now, I understand from some documents that have 14 been produced in this case that some of the experts 15 retained by Mr. Edmondson's office for this lawsuit 11:21AM 16 have been to your cattle farm and conducted some 17 sampling, is that right? 18 Yes. Α 19 Could you tell me on how many occasions sampling 20 has occurred related to this lawsuit at your property? 11:21AM 21 I think they have been on site twice. Α 22 Q Okay. 23 Α Maybe three times. 24 Okay. And from your discussions with Mr. Elrod 25 earlier, I gather that you have two separate parcels of 11:22AM

| | | Page 101 |
|----|---|----------|
| 1 | A That's okay. I've got to be honest. | 11:33AM |
| 2 | Q Mr. Fite, in your conversation with Mr. Elrod, the | |
| 3 | topic of reports of individuals recreating on the river | |
| 4 | perhaps having some gastroenteritis came up. Do you | |
| 5 | recall that line of questioning? | 11:33AM |
| 6 | A Mm-hmm. | |
| 7 | Q And this is another one of those questions | |
| 8 | designed to avoid surprise at trial. | |
| 9 | Mr. Fite, are you in a position of your | |
| 10 | own personal knowledge to identify any person who | 11:33AM |
| 11 | has recreated on the Illinois River Watershed who | |
| 12 | has sustained a bacteria-related illness from water | |
| 13 | exposure as opposed to, I think you gave an example | |
| 14 | of a sandwich, a tuna salad sandwich that had gone | |
| 15 | bad? | 11:33AM |
| 16 | A Yeah. | |
| 17 | Q Are you in a position to identify someone who you | |
| 18 | know got sick from exposure to bacteria in the water? | |
| 19 | A No. | |
| 20 | Q Now, prior to this lawsuit being filed, Mr. Fite, | 11:34AM |
| 21 | did the Scenic Rivers Commission regularly engage in | |
| 22 | any sampling or monitoring of bacteria? | |
| 23 | A Yes. | |
| 24 | Q Okay. And was that in conjunction with U.S.G.S.? | |
| 25 | A U.S.G.S. | 11:34AM |